

Motion 7.7

B2-2-13A, Solaris Dutamas No. 1, Jalan Dutamas 1 50480 Kuala Lumpur O +603 6206 3304 F +603 6211 3308 E team@amerbon.com www. AmerBON.com

Our ref: - Date: 11 Mac 2022

Your ref: - Sent by: E-mail / Hand

Secretary of the Malaysian Bar Malaysian Bar Council

Bar Council Wisma Badan Peguam Malaysia 2 Leboh Pasar Besar 50050 Kuala Lumpur

Dear Sir,

MOTION TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF MEMBER FIRMS AND LAWYERS IN EACH MEMBER FIRM FOR GROUP LAW PRACTICES

I refer to the Notice of Annual General Meeting of the Malaysian Bar (19 March 2022) sent via Malaysian Bar Circular No. 035/2022 on 21 February 2022.

I propose to move the enclosed motion in the Annual General Meeting of the Malaysian Bar on 19 March 2022.

Thank you.

Sincerely, for AmerBON

New Sin Yew

synew@amerbon.com +60 13 3639238 Encl.

Edmund Bon Tai Soon

MOTION TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF MEMBER FIRMS AND LAWYERS IN EACH MEMBER FIRM FOR GROUP LAW PRACTICES

- 1. **WHEREAS** on 25.06.2018, the Legal Profession (Group Law Practice) Rules 2018 ("**GLP Rules**"), drafted by the Malaysian Bar and approved by the Attorney-General, came into force.
- WHEREAS the rationale behind the GLP Rules at that material time was to enable small firms to save operational costs by allowing them to share such costs by forming a Group Law Practice ("GLP").
- 3. **WHEREAS** the GLP Rules places a limit of 5 member firms and 5 lawyers for each member firm.
- 4. **WHEREAS** the reason for such limit was that a majority of law firms in Malaysia has less than 5 lawyers and as such, the GLP Rules would benefit the majority of firms in Malaysia.
- 5. **RECOGNIZING** that the GLP model has enabled numerous firms to not only save operational costs but also to grow as a GLP and market themselves as a GLP.
- 6. **RECOGNIZING** that such GLPs are prevented from admitting more than 5 member firms and from having their member firms take on more than 5 lawyers, thus putting them in a position to leave or disband the GLP to grow.
- 7. **RECOGNIZING** that there is no basis to limit the GLP in the manner provided for under the GLP Rules.
 - 7.1. Even using the rationale behind the GLP Rules, which was to assist small firms, there are small firms with more than 5 lawyers.

- 7.2. The GLP Rules are discriminatory against such firms as they are still considered small firms but are prevented from adopting the GLP model.
- 7.3. Further, there is no basis to restrict the GLP model to only small firms. If other firms can benefit from the same model, they should be allowed to.
- 7.4. There is also no basis to limit the number of member firms to5. Firms form GLP not only to save operational costs, but also for branding and marketing purposes.
- 7.5. There is no reason to prevent more than 5 small firms from forming a GLP in order to provide expertise in various subjects or areas of the law to clients under a united brand,
- 8. **RECOGNIZING** that other jurisdictions such as Singapore and Hong Kong which allow for the GLPs have placed no limit on the number of member firms and lawyers in member firms.
- 9. **RECOGNIZING** that the freedom of association is a fundamental right guaranteed under Article 10(1)(c) of the Federal Constitution and that lawyers should be given as many options for professional practice which best suit their own unique needs.
- 10. **RECOGNIZING** that the Malaysian Bar is statutorily bound to act in the best interest of the legal profession and its members as a whole and not just a particular segment of its members.

IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED THAT:

- (a) The Bar Council immediately take the necessary steps to amend the GLP Rules in the following manner:
 - The restriction on the maximum number of firms in a GLP under Rule 3(1) be removed; and
 - 2. The restriction on the maximum number of advocates and solicitors in each member firm under Rule 3(1)(a) be removed.
- (b) Alternatively, the Bar Council immediately take the necessary steps to amend the GLP Rules in the following manner:
 - 1. The maximum number of firms in a GLP under Rule 3(1) be increased to 10 firms; and
 - 2. The maximum number of advocates and solicitors in each member firm under Rule 3(1)(a) be increased to 10 advocates and solicitors.
- (c) The amended GLP Rules be immediately sent to the Attorney-General for his approval and for it to be gazetted.

Proposed by:

No.	Name	BC Number
1.	ALVIN OH SEONG YEW	A/1844
2.	LARISSA ANN LOUIS	L/2478
3.	NEW SIN YEW	N/2169
4.	SARAH YONG LI HSIEN	Y/807
5.	SURENDRA ANANTH	S/2982

6.	VIVEK SUKUMARAN	V/278

Seconded by:

No.	Name	BC Number
1.	ABANG MOHD IWAWAN BIN	A/2478
	ABG NARAWI @ ABG NAWAWI	
2.	ABU HAZIQ BIN ABU TALIB	A/2486
3.	AISYAH AMALINA BINTI	A/2869
	NORHAN	
4.	ALEX ANTON NETTO	N/1657
5.	ANAND RAJ	A/638
6.	AVTARAN SINGH A/L A D	A/303
	TEJWANT SINGH	
7.	CHAI SIM ANN	C/1911
8.	CHAN EDWIN TOMAS JUNIOR	C/2249
9.	CHARLES HECTOR	C/712
10.	CHIN YUEN XIN	C/2296
11.	DENISE NG WAI LING	D/488
12.	DK VIVI FAZRENNA BINTI	D/505
	ZAIDUN	
13.	FOONG CHENG LEONG	F/358
14.	HANA NADIA BINTI ZAMRI	H/1258
15.	HARISH NAIR	H/967
16.	HAZWEEN SAMEERA MD	H/1171
	HASSAN	
17.	HOR SU YING	H/891
18.	IRENE KAM SOK KHUAN	1/280
19.	IVAN FEDRIC	1/294
20.	JOLIN YEW CHEE IT	J/733
21.	JOSHUA WU KAI-MING	J/821

22.	KEE HUI YEE	K/1470
23.	KUHAN MANOKARAN	K/1515
24.	LAI YEE FAN	L/2007
25.	LEE MEI XIAN	L/2780
26.	LEE WEI YET	L/1994
27.	LIEW KWOK HAN	L/2693
28.	LIM WEI JIET	L/2466
29.	MARY ANN	M/3057
30.	MOHAMAD IZWAN BIN ZAKARIA	M/2344
31.	MUHAMMAD IZZAT BIN ZAINAL	M/3121
32.	NADHIRAH AMALINA BINTI	N/3831
	ABDUL RAHMAN	
33.	NADIAH BINTI SALMAN	N/4404
34.	NIK ELIN ZURINA	N/155
35.	NURFATINI AIMAN BINTI MOHD	N/4694
	ZAKI	
36.	OOI JIA LIANG	O/485
37.	PARVINDER KAUR	P/579
38.	PHOON WAI WEING	P/678
39.	PUA JIN HOOI	P/699
40.	RAJSURIAN PILLAI	R/1148
41.	SHUGAN RAMAN	S/3126
42.	SIA WAN JIN	S/3129
43.	STEPHENIE YUAN HUEY THENG	S/3275
44.	TAI ZEE QUEEN	T/2235
45.	TEH GUAT HONG	T/1151
46.	TOH GIM PHAIK	T/2178
47.	TUN YUN MING	T/1892
48.	WAN GUAN HUI	W/902
49.	WEERA PREMANANDA	W/970
50.	WILLIAM CH'NG WEI LIM	W/848